## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Cha	arles Mendes	
	Plaintiff(s)	
	V.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-10912-DPW
Osi	prey Ship Management, Inc., et al.	
	Defendant(s)	
	·	RE: REFERENCE FOR EDISPUTE RESOLUTION
	TO JUDGE	Woodlock
[ ]	The above entitled case was reported s	ettled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR
[X]	On <u>12/18/2006</u>	I held the following ADR proceeding:
	SCREENING CONFER	RENCE EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION
	X MEDIATION	SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL
	MINI-TRIAL	SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
	All parties were represented by counsel [except]	
	The parties were not present in person, but by an authorized officer. [except].	
	The case was:	
[]	Settled. Your clerk should enter a day order of dismissal.	
[]	There was progress.	
[X]	Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This	
	case should be restored to your trial list	
[ ]	Suggested strategy to facilitate settleme	ent:
	<u> </u>	
	12/18/2006	Marianne B. Bowler, USMJ
	DATE	ADR Provider

[adrrpt.]

(ADR Report (Mendes).wpd -4/12/2000)